

REVIEW OF SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCY BUDGET PROCESS & CONTROLS

AUDIT NUMBER: 2438-4 REPORT DATE: APRIL 27, 2005

Audit Director: Peter Hughes, Ph.D., CPA
Deputy Director: Eli Littner, CPA, CIA

Deputy Director: Eli Littner, CPA, CIA
Audit Manager: Michael Goodwin, CPA, C

Audit Manager: Michael Goodwin, CPA, CIA
In-Charge Auditor: Michael Dean, CIA

Senior Auditor: Winnie Keung, CPA

Review of Social Services Agency Budget Process & Controls Audit No. 2438-4

As of December 31, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TRANSMITTAL LETTER	i.
INTERNAL AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
ATTACHMENT A	6

COUNTY OF ORANGE INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

PETER HUGHES
Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CIA, CFE, CITP
DIRECTOR

MAILING ADDRESS: 400 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST BUILDING 12, ROOM 232 SANTA ANA, CA 92701

TELEPHONE: (714) 834-5475 FAX: (714) 834-2880 EMAIL: peter.hughes@ocgov.com WEBSITE: www.ocgov.com/audit/

Transmittal Letter

Audit No. 2438-4

April 27, 2005

TO: Angelo Doti, Director

itegrity

Jbjectivity

Independence

Social Services Agency

FROM: Peter Hughes, Ph.D., CPA, Director

Internal Audit Department

SUBJECT: Review of Social Services Agency Budget Process & Controls

We have completed a review of the County budget process in the Social Services Agency as of December 31, 2004. Our final **Internal Auditor's Report** follows this transmittal letter.

As the Director of the Internal Audit Department, effective December 14, 2004, I now make a monthly audit status presentation to the BOS where I detail any material and significant audit findings released in reports during the prior month, the implementation status of audit recommendations as disclosed by our Follow-Up Audits, any pressing audit or resource issues; as well as, respond to inquiries from the BOS. Therefore, the results of this audit will be included in a future summary to the Board.

Additionally, we will be sending a <u>Customer Survey of Audit Services to the key audit contacts in your department</u>. Please have them complete the survey and return it to Renee Aragon, Executive Secretary, Internal Audit Department.

We appreciate the cooperation and assistance extended to us by the budget personnel and management in the Social Services Agency.

Attachment

Other recipients of this report:

Members, Board of Supervisors

Members, Audit Oversight Committee

Foreman, Grand Jury

Thomas G. Mauk, County Executive Officer

Ed Corser, Deputy CEO, Chief Financial Officer

Steve Dunivent, Manager, CEO/Budget Office

Darlene J. Bloom, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Jess Carbajal, Director of Agency Administration, SSA

Carol Wiseman, Deputy Director, SSA/Financial and Administrative Services



COUNTY OF ORANGE INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

PETER HUGHES Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CIA, CFE, CITP DIRECTOR

> MAILING ADDRESS: 400 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST BUILDING 12, ROOM 232 SANTA ANA, CA 92701

TELEPHONE: (714) 834-5475 FAX: (714) 834-2880 EMAIL: peter.hughes@ocgov.com WEBSITE: www.ocgov.com/audit/

INTERNAL AUDITOR'S REPORT

Audit No. 2438-4

April 27, 2005

Angelo Doti, Director Social Services Agency 888 North Main Street Santa Ana, CA 92701

Integrity Objectivity

ndependence

We have completed a review of the County budget process in the Social Services Agency. Our review was as of December 31, 2004 and included an evaluation of processes and controls for developing and monitoring department/agency budgets. The purpose of our review was to assess the budget process and controls at a sample of departments and agencies. This review is a continuation of our efforts to review the County budget process. Our prior audits of the budget process included a review of the CEO/Budget Office, Auditor-Controller/Revenue and Budgets, and RDMD's Planning and Development Services Function (formerly Planning and Development Services Department), where a fund deficit occurred in June 2002 resulting from budget related issues.

SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES

Our review of the budget process was performed in the **Social Services Agency**, **Health Care Agency**, **Public Defender** and the **Sheriff-Coroner Department**. Our objective was to assess the process and controls regarding the development, review, approval, and monitoring of the FY 2004/05 budget to ensure budget issues are adequately understood and communicated within the organization, and are also reported to the County Executive Office. As such, we assessed management's reviews and approvals of budget documents and reports. Additionally, we evaluated the training processes for individuals responsible for department/agency budgets. Our review did not evaluate the process and controls in the CEO/Budget Office and Auditor-Controller/Revenue and Budgets, or the Budgeting Reporting and Analysis Support System (BRASS) used to create and compile budgets.

CONCLUSION

We are pleased to report that the process and controls in the Social Services Agency demonstrated a well-defined and articulated budget process. Our review of the budget process did not identify any reportable, significant or material issues. Most noteworthy, we found that budget roles and responsibilities in the Social Services Agency are clearly established, and that communications within the Social Services Agency and with the County Executive Office are taking place and are effective. Budgets are being monitored regularly and there are adequate training and cross-training processes to minimize any potential disruptions in preparing and monitoring the Social Services Agency budget.

Angelo Doti, Director, Social Services Agency April 27, 2005 Page 2

Although this report does not have any audit recommendations, as always, the Internal Audit Department is available to partner with you so that you can successfully implement or mitigate difficult audit recommendations. Please feel free to call me should you wish to discuss any aspect of our audit report.

We appreciate the cooperation and assistance extended to us by the staff of the CEO/Budget Office, Auditor-Controller/Revenue and Budgets, and especially from budget personnel and management in the Social Services Agency.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Hughes, Ph.D/, CPA Director, Internal Audit

Distribution: Pursuant to Audit Oversight Committee Procedure No. 1

Members, Board of Supervisors

Members, Audit Oversight Committee

Foreman, Grand Jury

Darlene J. Bloom, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Ed Corser, Deputy County Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer

Steve Dunivent, Manager, CEO/Budget Office

Jess Carbajal, Director of Agency Administration, SSA

Carol Wiseman, Deputy Director, SSA/Financial and Administrative Services

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

We conducted a review of the budget process and controls in the Social Services Agency as of December 31, 2004.

BACKGROUND

All departments/agencies prepare annual budget requests (budgets) that are submitted to the CEO/Budget Office and the Auditor-Controller for review, and ultimately to the Board of Supervisors for adoption in the County's Final Budget. Departments/agencies have primary responsibility over their budget preparation and monitoring processes. Depending on their size, departments/agencies have from one to several Budget Analysts and support staff to prepare and monitor their budgets. CEO/Budget Office provides departments/agencies with Budget Instructions and Budget Calendars for preparing their annual budgets.

CEO/Budget Office also establishes County budget policies, ensures adherence with the Budget Instruction Manual, and maintains the Budget Reporting and Analysis Support System (BRASS) used to create and compile budgets. CEO/Budget Office provides oversight of department/agency budgets by reviewing budget requests, augmentations, revenue and expenditure projections, variance analyses, and providing assistance to departments/agencies. Auditor-Controller/Revenue and Budgets also provides a variety of budget review and support responsibilities.

The Social Services Agency had eight budgeted funds **totaling \$635 million** for FY 2004/05 as follows:

Fund	Number	Budgeted Amount (Rounded)
General Fund	100/063	\$393 million
CalWORKs FG/U	100/065	\$109 million
AFDC-Foster Care	100/066	\$124 million
Aid to Refugees	100/067	\$317,000
Case Data System	100/068	Clearing account for Public Assistance \$0 budget
General Relief	100/069	\$1.3 million
Facilities Development and Maintenance	14T	\$7.8 million
Special Revenue Fund		
IHSS Public Authority	590	\$837,000

SSA is organized into four service areas: Adult Services and Assistance Programs, Children and Family Services, Family Self-Sufficiency and Administration. The budget team consists of the Deputy Director of Financial and Administrative Services, the Manager of Financial Services, three Senior Budget Analysts, and seven Budget Analysts. Financial and Administrative Services prepares and distributes to each service unit a Fiscal Year Budget Process, Calendar, and Budget package, that describes the internal budget process and supplements the CEO/Budget Office's instructions and calendar.



OBJECTIVES

Our review assessed the process and controls at the Social Services Agency for the following:

> Development, Review and Approval of the Budget for FY 04/05.

We evaluated the roles and responsibilities of budget staff and management, the adequacy of budget support documentation, evidence of management review and approval of budget support schedules, and effectiveness of communication between all levels involved in the development and approval of the budget, including Social Services Agency Executive Management and the County Executive Office.

> Monitoring of Budgets.

We evaluated the Social Services Agency's on-going monitoring processes over the budget, which includes the monitoring of revenues, expenditures, fund balance available, net County cost, available financing reports, budget projections, variance reports, budget augmentation requests, and the analysis of prior year budget augmentations to related performance measures. We assessed the extent of on-going communications that occur between the Social Services Agency and the CEO/Budget Office throughout the year.

> Training and Procedures.

We evaluated the training (and cross-training) processes and the existence of policies and procedures that are specific to the Social Services Agency and supplement the CEO/Budget Office instructions.

APPROACH

Our methodology included inquiry, auditor observation and examination of budget documents and correspondence showing how the Social Services Agency prepared, reviewed, approved, and monitored its annual budget.

To accomplish our objectives, we prepared a detailed *Internal Control Questionnaire* to identify the budget process and controls specific to departments and agencies. In the development of the questionnaire, we obtained input from the CEO/Budget Office to ensure areas addressed were pertinent to the department/agency budget process. We conducted interviews with Social Services Agency Budget Analysts and obtained their input to the questionnaire. To substantiate the responses on the questionnaire, we met with budget staff and management and examined related documentation. We conducted interviews with various individuals in the Social Services Agency to determine the effectiveness of communication of budget issues. Finally, we asked Social Services Agency what essential elements contributed to the successful budget preparation process.

In Attachment A, we have listed the factors that have contributed to the success in the budget process performed by the Social Services Agency and the other three departments/agencies we reviewed.



OBSERVATIONS

- SSA Financial and Administrative Services provides training to Budget Analysts to perform the job properly.
- SSA Financial and Administrative Services has a backup system so that Budget Analysts have the knowledge to cover for other Budget Analysts for an extended time of leave.
- Financial Services distributes a Fiscal Year Budget Process, Calendar and Package informing each service unit of the internal budget process including a tentative budget calendar.
- Budget Analysts regularly monitor and analyze the actual and projected revenues and expenditures.
- The Budget Request is adequately supported by various schedules.
- The Executive Team authorizes budget revisions; Financial Services Manager approves budget transfers.
- There are written directions to budget staff on how to analyze projections.
- There is ongoing communication among the budget staff and the Executive Management Team and with the CEO/Budget Office regarding budget issues.
- Management performs documented reviews of the charts and narratives put into the Budget Workbook.
- Management performs documented reviews of agency actual revenues and expenditures and the analysis of budget augmentations in regard to intended outcomes from the receipt and use of additional resources.
- Management documents their review of budget variances.
- SSA maintains current "Cost Apply" agreements with other departments/agencies.
- Documentation was prepared to analyze intended outcome indicators for prior year budget augmentations.

CONCLUSION

We are pleased to report that our review of the budget process at the Social Services Agency did not identify any reportable, significant or material issues. We found that budget roles and responsibilities within the Social Services Agency are clearly established. The Social Services Agency budget team had developed its own specific budget procedures and calendar to supplement the policy and procedures prepared by the CEO/Budget Office. Communications about the budget are occurring within the Social Services Agency and with the County Executive Office through the Deputy County Executive Officers and the CEO/Budget Office. The approved budgets are monitored on a regular basis throughout the year and the required projection and variance reports are being submitted to the CEO/Budget Office. Additionally, we found that there are adequate training and cross-training processes in place to minimize any potential disruptions in preparing and monitoring the Social Services Agency budget.



ATTACHMENT A

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY SUCCESS FACTORS

The following are the factors the four departments/agencies identified during our review that contribute to their successful management of budgets. We believe departments/agencies should consider adopting any of these factors in their budget process where applicable.

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

- Supplementing the CEO/Budget Office's Budget Instructions and Budget Calendar with their own detailed procedures and calendars that indicate specific tasks, dates, individuals responsible for the tasks, and back-up staff for each task.
- Preparing a detailed Strategic Financial Plan document to allow multi-year planning of needs and potential service reductions.
- Maintaining a step-by-step overview of the year-end closing process.
- Conducting year-round budget monitoring and Net County Cost projections.
- Using consistent formats for budget monitoring spreadsheets.
- Starting the budget process early (October) to ensure critical deadlines are met.
- Using technology to download useful information (e.g., State requirements) to analyze information and to monitor program status.

COMMUNICATION

- Actively involving Executive Management, Finance/Administration Management, and Program/Division Managers in developing budgets, reviewing monthly expenditure reports, and attending regular budget meetings.
- Holding Program/Division Managers accountable for their budgets.
- Having meetings with budget teams and the department/agency programs/divisions to review and discuss the budget after final adoption.
- Having exit meetings with budget teams and program/division managers for discussions on how the prior year budget was met.
- Communicating with the CEO/Budget Office to identify any upcoming changes.

TRAINING

- Budget teams providing workshops and training to Program/Division managers regarding budget preparation and monitoring.
- Budget Analysts working closely with each other with a willingness to cover other Budget Analysts in the event of absence.

